

SUPREME COURT OF QUEENSLAND

CITATION: *Re Skyring* [2014] QSC 89

PARTIES: **ALAN GEORGE SKYRING**
(Applicant)

FILE NO/S: SC No 3660 of 2014

DIVISION: Trial

PROCEEDING: Application

ORIGINATING COURT: Supreme Court of Queensland

DELIVERED ON: 28 April 2014

DELIVERED AT: Brisbane

HEARING DATE: 28 April 2014

JUDGE: Atkinson J

ORDERS: **1. The proceeding is a vexatious proceeding, and I dismiss the application pursuant to s 12(1)(b) of the *Vexatious Proceedings Act 2005 (Qld)*.**

CATCHWORDS: PROCEDURE – MISCELLANEOUS PROCEDURAL MATTERS – VEXATIOUS LITIGANTS AND PROCEEDINGS – where the applicant has been declared a vexatious litigant – where the applicant seeks leave to institute proceedings under section 11 of the *Vexatious Litigants Proceedings Act 2005 (Qld)* – where applications for leave were denied on at least four prior occasions - whether the applicant should be granted leave to institute proceedings

Vexatious Litigants Proceedings Act 2005 (Qld), s 11, s 12(1)(b)

Re: Skyring [2013] QSC 197
Re: Skyring [2014] QSC 028
Re: Skyring [2014] QSC 061

COUNSEL: The applicant appeared on his own behalf

SOLICITORS: The applicant appeared on his own behalf

HER HONOUR: The applicant, Alan George Skyring, has been declared a litigant who requires leave to commence an application under s11 of the *Vexatious Proceedings Act 2005*. Because of that, he has brought many applications in this court for leave. The origin of the present series of applications appears to have been an order made by Magistrate Springer on 18 June 2013, setting down a matter for trial, regarding a speeding ticket that Mr Skyring had been given for driving his car at 62 kilometres per hour in a zone signed as having a 50 kilometre per hour speed limit. Through that pretext, Mr Skyring applies for leave to re-litigate matters which have been endlessly litigated in this and other courts.

5
10 Applications for leave for him to commence these proceedings have been denied on no fewer than four occasions, and perhaps many more, since he first commenced this particular lot of attempts to litigate. Comprehensive reasons have been given by Justice Mullins on 1 August 2013,¹ by Justice Peter Lyons on 13 February 2014,² by 15 Justice Alan Wilson on 21 May 2014,³ and, Mr Skyring tells me, also by Justice Jackson.

Mr Skyring has been completely unable to articulate to me anything which makes this application any different from any application previously brought by him. 20 Accordingly, in my view, the proceeding is a vexatious proceeding, and I dismiss the application pursuant to s 12(1)(b) of the *Vexatious Proceedings Act 2005*.

25

¹ See *Re: Skyring* [2013] QSC 197.

² See *Re: Skyring* [2014] QSC 028.

³ See *Re: Skyring* [2014] QSC 061.