

Slavery laws



OPCA theorists consider that the enforcement of laws without individual consent is slavery, and some cite section 268-270 of the *Criminal Code* attempting to establish this. What they neglect to mention is the fact that:

[Section 268.120](#) provides that:

"This Division is not intended to exclude or limit any other law of the Commonwealth or any law of a State or Territory."

[Section 270.12](#) provides that:

"This Division is not intended to exclude or limit the operation of any other law of the Commonwealth or any law of a State or Territory."

And those enforcing said laws are protected under [section 10.5](#) "Lawful authority" which provides that:

"A person is not criminally responsible for an offence if the conduct constituting the offence is justified or excused by or under a law."



[Robert R. Sudy](#) (author) Website: [Freeman Delusion: The Organised Pseudolegal Commercial Argument in Australia](#) Email: robertsudy@freemandelusion.com * Like the page on [Facebook](#) Public group [Australian Pseudolaw](#) * Follow me on [Twitter](#) * Subscribe [on YouTube](#).