

David Walter

In [Legal Services Commissioner v Walter \[2011\] QSC 132](#) the Legal Services Commissioner applied for an injunction to restrain David John Walter from engaging in legal practice in the State of Queensland when not an Australian legal practitioner, after he had repeatedly sought, in many different proceedings, to ventilate a fundamentally misconceived legal argument, and has done so indirectly by advancing these arguments through the cases brought by other parties, providing advice to the plaintiffs to commence proceedings, drafting correspondence, pleadings and submissions in the proceedings, at times corresponding on behalf of the plaintiffs with other parties to the litigation, and purporting to act as the agent of one of the plaintiffs. Each of these proceedings has been based upon identical, peculiar notions of the law, which have also been unsuccessfully espoused by the respondent himself in previous litigation.

The extent of the respondent's involvement in proceedings on behalf of Mrs Burns led to P Lyons J ordering on 27 April 2010 that the respondent pay the costs of the other parties to that litigation. (*Burns & Ors v Cassowary Coast Regional Council* (unreported, Supreme Court, Cairns, P Lyons J, 27 April 2010. See also in that regard the observations of Jerrard JA in [Burns v State of Queensland & Croton \[2007\] QCA 240](#) (at 5) He has also sought to advance these mistaken notions in other forums, as noted in [Lade and Company Pty Ltd v Finlay & Ors \[2010\] QSC 382](#) (at 27):

*"Mr Lade initially sought an adjournment so that his case could be argued by David Walter who for personal reasons could not attend the hearing. I note that Mr Walter has conducted similar arguments in some of the cases and failed: see [Burns v State of Queensland & Croton \[2006\] QCA 235](#); [Wilson v Raddatz \[2006\] QCA 392](#); and [Glasgow v Hall \[2007\] QCA 19](#). See also the decision of P Lyons J in *Burns & Ors v Cassowary Coast Regional Council* (Unreported, Cairns, 27 of 2010, 27 April 2010). Costs orders have been made against Mr Walter in some cases."*

Whilst there was no evidence that David Walter received remuneration for providing advice and other services to the plaintiffs in the ten different actions, there is evidence that he has solicited financial donations from members of the public to fund litigation of this nature.

<https://freemandelusion.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/legal-services-commissioner-v-walter-2011-qsc-132.pdf>

ABC Law Report: "[Injunction prevents non lawyer from providing legal advice](#)":

https://freemandelusion.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/05/lrt_20110628_0845.mp3

[Walter v Mackay Regional Council \[2015\] FCCA 351](#) was regarding costs in previous litigation involving William Alexander Lade. Mr Lade as plaintiff had sued both the Mackay Regional Council and the State Minister for Local Government and Aboriginal and Torres Strait Island Partnerships, where North J. made an order that both Mr Lade and Mr Walter, as a non-party to the matter, be liable for the costs of the Mackay Regional Council, which resulted in a sequestration order. Mr Walter appealed the making of that order to this Court, but did not present any evidence regarding the actual bankruptcy, but instead filed five separate books of "submissions" that cast doubt upon the fact that a Court could allow sequestration or the authority of the Supreme Court or any other entity to take money that has not been money as described under the Constitution namely pounds, shillings and pence.

<https://freemandelusion.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/walter-v-mackay-regional-council-2015-fcca-351.pdf>

In May 2017 David John Walter spent a month in Lotus Glen prison after he was [jailed for contempt](#) and allegedly assaulting two police officers at a bankruptcy hearing at Cairns Magistrates Court, a charge which was later withdrawn. After his many erroneous submissions, Magistrate Bentley ordered he undergo a mental assessment, which concluded that Walter was legally competent but held some “idiosyncratic views regarding several judgments from higher courts.”

Cairns Post

Former cop guilty of contempt, charged with assaulting police in court

RETIRED police officer David John Walter has been jailed for contempt after allegedly assaulting two police officers at Cairns Magistrates Court.

Chris Calcino

less than 2 mins read May 24, 2017 - 5:00AM The Cairns Post

0 comments



David John Walter will be eligible for parole on his contempt conviction in a month.

RETIRED police officer David John Walter has been jailed for contempt after allegedly assaulting two police officers at Cairns Magistrates Court.

Mr Walter had been the focus of a bankruptcy case before Magistrate Jane Bentley on Monday when he became “overcome with emotion”, the court was told.

He was yesterday found guilty of contempt and given a three-month prison sentence with parole eligibility after one month behind bars and will face two serious assault charges later this year.

A mental health report stated Mr Walter was not psychotic or delusional but held “some idiosyncratic views regarding several judgments from higher courts”.

He apologised for his behaviour and said he blamed the stress of his bankruptcy case for his wife’s death, the court heard.

Ms Bentley said she gave Mr Walter ample opportunity to apologise and cease his contemptuous behaviour the previous day.

“I note that there were about 20 people in the public gallery yesterday for your proceedings,” she said.

“It was obvious from your remarks to them and their remarks to you, in particular during your struggle with the correctional officers ... that you had encouraged them to be here to support you in your show of contempt for this court and the justice system.”

Mr Walter will be required to appear before the court via video link from prison on June 19 to face the serious assault charges.

David Walter ran the same arguments in [Walter v Premier of Queensland \[2018\] QSC 237](#) where he sued Anastacia Palaszczuc MP and demanded the court “*immediately forward this file to the Chief Justice of the High Court of Australia*”. The alleged purpose was for the Chief Justice to in turn put a series of questions to Her Majesty the Queen and, when they are answered, convene a sitting of the High Court which the plaintiff can attend, so as to request that orders be made of the Queen, depending on her answers. The court noted that:

“Mr Walter appears to have a concerning history of repeat involvement in litigation for others, espousing what were described as “peculiar” and “mistaken” notions of the law in Legal Services Commissioner v Walter [2011] QSC 132. ... The statement of claim is incoherent. It is a rambling mixture of assertions which are literally and legally insensible and purported quotes of legislation, proceedings and other publications. Some of what is pleaded seems to go to whether our system of government is lawful but the link between that existential debate and a legitimate cause of action is not apparent.”

<https://freemandelusion.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/walter-v-premier-of-queensland-2018-qsc-237.pdf>

'Take lawsuit to the Queen'

JANESSA EKERT
janessa.ekert@news.com.au

A RETIRED police officer who is suing the Premier for \$21 million also wants Australia's highest court to order the Queen of England to personally answer nine questions.

Cairns man David Walter, who is a sovereign citizen, represented himself in a bizarre damages claim which resulted in heated debate with Justice James Henry.

The Cairns Supreme Court heard that Mr Walter expects Justice Henry to send his claim to the High Court of Australia's Chief Justice Susan Kiefel to order the Queen to answer his questions.

"Let me check this, I just want to see if I'm still in the real world here. You'll have the Supreme Court order the chief justice of the high court to tell the Queen what to do, have I got that right?" Justice Henry asked.

"So I'm to order the chief justice of Australia to present a list



LEGAL ACTION: David Walter has lodged a multimillion-dollar claim in Cairns Supreme Court.

of questions (to the Queen)?"

At this Mr Walter interrupted Justice Henry with: "Not of Australia, of the Commonwealth of Australia. There ain't no place called Australia.

"What currency are you paid in, are you paid in the pound out of the constitution act?"

The 68-year-old former Northern Territory police officer does not recognise Australian law, currency or levels of government.

Defence barrister Joshua Trevino, for the Premier and State of Queensland, has asked for the statement of claim to be struck out and the claim to be permanently stayed, which was the reason it was listed in court yesterday.

Among the documents filed with the court is a list of nine claims against Premier Annastacia Palaszczuk totalling about \$21 million.

Mr Walter's statement of claim also lists multiple grievances including his bankruptcy and incarceration for contempt of court.

As Justice Henry tried to untangle what he described as an "incoherent" claim, Mr Walter became increasingly agitated in the courtroom.

"Your honour you have one option, you either pay it, send it to the crown or tell me to roll me swag and go home," Mr Walter said.

The matter was adjourned until Monday for further possible submissions or a decision on the strike out application.

David Walters had a [interview with media](#) outside the court while on recess:

<https://videos.files.wordpress.com/muZY0VPS/david-john-walter-12-10-2018-court-matter.mp4>

The Cairns Post: "[Cairns court: Judge rejects lawsuit of retired police officer who wants answers from Queen of England](#)":

Cairns Post

Judge rejects lawsuit of man suing Premier, seeking answers from Queen

A CAIRNS judge has rejected a “rambling” lawsuit from a retired police officer who wants Australia’s highest court to order the Queen of England to personally answer nine questions and is suing the Premier for \$210 million.

Janessa Ekert

2 min read October 15, 2018 - 1:34PM The Cairns Post



Retired policeman David Walter has lodged a multimillion-dollar claim in the Cairns Supreme Court against the premier and he also wants the Queen of England to personally answer some questions.

A CAIRNS judge has rejected a “rambling” lawsuit from a retired police officer who wants Australia’s highest court to order the Queen of England to personally answer nine questions and is suing the Premier for \$210 million.

David Walter, 68, lodged his bizarre damages claim in the Cairns Supreme Court, which has resulted in more questions than answers.

The former Northern Territory cop, who is a sovereign citizen and doesn’t recognise Australian law, government or currency, wants Cairns Justice James Henry to send his case to the High Court of Australia’s Chief Justice Susan Kiefel to order the Queen to answer his questions.

The former Northern Territory cop, who is a sovereign citizen and doesn’t recognise Australian law, government or currency, wants Cairns Justice James Henry to send his case to the High Court of Australia’s Chief Justice Susan Kiefel to order the Queen to answer his questions.

Mr Walter then wants a sitting of the High Court of Australia to be convened that he can attend and ask for orders to be made of the Queen depending on her answers.

He also wants the Cairns Supreme Court to order the Premier to pay him \$210 million but doesn’t give any reason why.

In another twist, Justice Henry said that Mr Walter had a “concerning history of repeat involvement in litigation for others espousing what were described as ‘peculiar’ and ‘mistaken’ notions of the law”.

In 2011 an injunction was granted against Mr Walter restraining him from appearing in court on behalf of others. This particular matter is listed as David John Walter and Anors, but the so-called “Anors” are not named.

“A breach of that injunction of the Supreme Court of Queensland would have serious consequences,” Justice Henry said, adding that the claim’s incoherence may mean Mr Walter’s actions fell short of any breach.

“The statement of claim is incoherent. It is a rambling mixture of assertions which are literally and legally insensible and purported quotes of legislation, proceedings and other publications.

“Some of what is pleaded seems to go to whether our system of government is lawful but the link between that existential debate and a legitimate cause of action is not apparent.”

Justice Henry also said the way the case was filed and the documents included by Mr Walter were unsatisfactory.

“The claim’s failure to, in any articulate way, state the nature of the claim or the relief sought and its content generally were strong indicators the claim was an abuse of the processes of the Supreme Court of Queensland,” he said.

The matter as it stands has been struck out and Justice Henry will now consider whether or not he will give Mr Walter another opportunity to rewrite and refile his case.

Free download 10 page index guide [Australian Pseudolaw Argumentation](#).



Copyright 2021 Robert R. Sudy_(author) Website: [Freeman Delusion: The Organised Pseudolegal Commercial Argument in Australia](#) Email: robertsudy@freemandelusion.com * Like the page on Facebook Public group [Australian Pseudolaw](#) * Follow me on Twitter * Subscribe on YouTube.